

In The United States Patent And Trademark Office

Applicant: Pauli Koutonen

Date: May 2, 2003

Date Filed: July 13, 2001

Docket No.: FORSAL-16

App. No.: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3654

For: Method and Apparatus for
Winding a Paper Web

Examiner: J.Q. Nguyen

Certificate of Facsimile

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile
transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Officeon May 2, 2003

Date



Signature

Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Interview Summary

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants attorney conducted a phone interview on April 10th 2003 with the Examiner and John Nguyen. The claims generally were discussed in the context of whether or not the Examiner agreed patentable subject matter was present. The reference Stefanoni U.S. 5,217,177 was discussed particularly that the reference is directed to a tape machine, which does not and can not change the width of the tapes being cut to a second width while the machine is operating to cut tapes of a first width. Further amendment of the claims were not discussed. During the interview the Applicant stated the claims have been limited to slitters used on a paper making line, and the Examiner has not found any suggestion for using two sets of knives to change paper widths in an on machine slitter. Nor has the examiner found a slitter which changes paper widths on-the-fly. The principal reference applied by the examiner does not suggest changing paper width on-the-fly. Agreement was not reached

Applicant: Pauli Koutonen
Application No.: 09/905,550
Art Unit: 3654

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Lathrop & Clark LLP
740 Regent Street, Suite 400
P.O. Box 1507
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1507
(608) 257-7766

interview.rcs/andl